

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,065		07/25/2003	Paul Zieske	DI-5924	4163
29176	7590	01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
BELL, BO	YD & LL	OYD LLC	PESELEV, ELLI		
P. O. BOX 1	135				
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1633	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
	10/628,065	ZIESKE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Elli_Peselev	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Peri df r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	rresp ndence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 021	December 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• •					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of the control o	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
<ul> <li>2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/628,065

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 1-45 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-42 of copending Application No. 10/327,264 for the reasons set forth in the Office Action of August 31, 2004.

Applicant's arguments filed December 2, 2004 have been considered but have not been found persuasive.

Applicant contends that the subject matter as defined by claims 1-42 of the copending application recites solutions with a pH ranging from 7.0 to about 12.0. This argument has not been found persuasive since claim 1, for example, recites the pH as being "about 7". About 7.0 encompasses 6.5, which is acidified pH.

Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the European Patent No. 0 951 915 A2 for the reasons set forth in the Office Action of August 31, 2004.

Applicant's arguments filed December 2, 2004 have been considered but have not been found persuasive.

Page 3 of the European patent discloses a solution containing a first solution containing dextrose having pH of approximately 3-6 and a second solution containing buffer having pH of approximately 6-8.5 pH. The pH of approximately 6 is an acidified pH. Note also that a pH of "about 5.5" as recited in claim 4 is not distinct from "approximately 6" as disclosed by the European Patent. Further note that, for example, claim 8 recites the pH of the second solution being "less than 7" which is within the scope of the pH of 6 disclosed by the European Patent and claims 1, 17 and 40 do not

Application/Control Number: 10/628,065 Page 3

Art Unit: 1623

recite a specific pH. Therefore, the claimed solutions and methods are still anticipated by or are deemed prima facie obvious over the European Patent.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00-5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,065

Art Unit: 1623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200 Page 4